



BOA Congress, Aberdeen September 2021

Survivorship of the dual mobility construct in elective primary total hip replacement. A systematic review and meta-analysis including joint registry data.

Andrew Gardner, Hamish Macdonald, Jonathan T Evans, Adrian Sayers, Michael Whitehouse **Institution:** University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

Background

- Dislocation is a common complication associated with total hip replacement (THR) with more than half occurring within the first 3 months¹.
- Dual mobility constructs (DMC-THR) may be used in high-risk patients and have design features that may reduce the risk of dislocation but other causes of failure

Survivorship at 15 years

may be increased².

Aims

- The primary aim of this study was to report survivorship of DMC-THR used in primary elective THR.
- Secondary aims included reporting crude dislocation rate following DMC-THR and revision for instability, infection and fracture.

Methods

- A systematic search was performed in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, Cochrane Library and national joint registry reports (QR code).
- Studies were included if they published revision (allcause) survival estimates and confidence intervals.
- A meta-analysis was performed weighting each series on the overall pooled estimate.

Survivorship at 5 years **99.5%**(CS) 97.3%(RS)



Study

Year

Survivorship at 20 years

77%(CS)

Survivorship at 10 years

95.7%_(cs)

96.1% (RS)

Figure 1. Estimates of survival from case series⁴⁻¹² at 5 years,10 years, 15 years and 20 years.

> Survival (95% CI) Weight

Revision (all-cause) was chosen as the primary outcome because this is what is important to patients³.

Results

- Primary outcome: All-cause construct survivorship:
- Case series (CS): 99.5% (95% CI 99.3 – 99.8) at **5** years
- 95.7% (95% CI 94.9 – 96.5) at **10** years 98.4% (95% CI 95.3 – 100) at

Results

Secondary outcomes: Rate of dislocation:

- Reported in 37case series (16,809 DMC-THR)
- 1.1% with a mean patient age at the time of operation of 66.4 years (weighted)

Figure 2. Estimates of survival from registries² at 2 years, 5 years and 10 years.



5 years Dubin et al 2020 97.90 (95.86, 98.94) 2.51 95.42 99.80 (99.50, 100.00) Ferreira et al 2017 2.06 2016 **97**.51 (95.80, 99.20) Epinette et al 99.70 (99.46, 99.95) 100.00 Subtotal 10 years 95.90 (94.80, 96.90) 57.16 Kreipke et al 2019 93.00 (91.00, 95.00) 15.75 2013 Combes et a 97.20 (95.10, 98.40) 23.15 2019 Fessy et al 2011 3.94 95.00 (91.00, 99.00) Massin et al 95.71 (94.91, 96.50) 100.00 Subtotal 15 years → 98.40 (95.30, 100.00) 2016 Puch et a 100.00 98.40 (96.05, 100.75) 100.00 Subtotal 20 years 2017 77.00 (74.40, 82.00) 100.00 Philippot et al 77.00 (73.20, 80.80) Subtotal 100.00 70 100 75 80 85 Survival estimate

Conclusions

Previous studies reporting on case series have shown an association



between the use of DMC-THR and lower dislocation rates.

- The current study shows that at comparable time points, the survivorship of DMC-THRs from case series was superior at 5 years and lower at 10 years when compared to registry series.
- Dislocation rate and revision for dislocation may be reduced with the use of DMC-THR. However, an association with higher rates of revision for other causes may not warrant its routine use.

Scan QR code for references & **PRSIMA** diagram

Author contact: rv19225@britsol.ac.uk (AG)