# Cauda Equina Syndrome Red Flags & Referrals

Shah HB, Gusbi E, Khan A, Macmull S

## **Introduction and Aims**

Cauda Equina Syndrome (CES) is an Orthopaedic emergency with a prevalence of 1 to 1.9 per 100, 000<sup>1</sup>. It results from the dysfunction of multiple sacral and lumbar nerve roots in the lumbar vertebral canal<sup>2</sup>. National guidance sets a gold standard for assessing these patients<sup>3</sup>. Given the time sensitive nature of CES, assessment and documentation of red flags is of importance, allowing appropriate paramount prioritisation of patients during a busy emergency take. Anecdotally, Emergency Department (ED) documentation is poor. Therefore, we aimed to formally assess the standard of CES referrals from ED at a local District General Hospital.



MHS

Hospitals

**NHS Trust** 

West Hertfordshire

#### **Methods**

Retrospective 3-cycle cross-sectional study of 104 patients presenting to ED. Referrals were assessed for documentation of 9 red flag criteria and gold standard clinical examination, according to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Intervention after cycle 1: Education and Poster implementation. Intervention after cycle 2: ED protocol for CES documentation implemented.

Figure 2. % compliance with time from education.

**RED FLAGS FOR CAUDA EQUINA** 

Before completing your referral you must document the following in the referral notes box:



1. History of bilateral sciatica

2. History of URINARY RETENTION or INCONTINENCE

3. History of FAECAL INCONTINENCE

4. History of Perianal AND/OR perineal sensory loss

5. FULL neurological assessment

6. PR FINDINGS—Sensation AND Anal tone

7. Pre AND Post Void bladder scan

Figure 3. ED protocol for CES documentation.

### **Conclusion**

Referral documentation is substandard. Despite education the average memory of a junior doctor peaks between the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  week. Protocols have been

#### %Compliance

Cycle 1 Cycle 2 Cycle 3

Figure 1. % compliance of red flag documentation in ED referrals.

## <u>Results</u>

Cycle 1, 0% of referrals achieved greater than 66% compliance. Cycle 2, 33% were 66-88% compliant and 8% were >88% compliant. Cycle 3, 6% of referrals were >88% compliant (Figure 1). % Compliance peaked at 2-3 weeks post-education, then sharply declined (Figure 2).

implemented, yet there is a clear need for an online CES referral proforma stipulating all mandatory data to be inputted prior to successful submission of a referral. This will improve clinical care, patient safety and efficiency of patient flow from ED to specialty assessment and admission.

#### References

1.The Royal College of Emergency Medicine. *Position statement: Cauda Equina Syndrome.* RCEM. 2020.

2.Lavy C, James A, Wilson-MacDonald J, Fairbank J. Cauda equina syndrome. *BMJ*. 2019; 338: b936

3.National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. CKS: Back pain – low (without radiculopathy): Red flag symptoms and signs. NICE. 2022.