

Mako[®] Robotic-Arm Assisted Surgery





Overview	3
Evolution	4
Mako SmartRobotics [™] Stats	6
Mako system	7
Mako SmartRobotics [™]	8

REFERENCES AND DISCLAIMER.		
----------------------------	--	--





Mako. SmartRoboticsTM.

Mako SmartRobotics[™] combines three key components: **3D CT-based planning, AccuStop[™] haptic technology**, and insightful data analytics^{*}, into one platform that has demonstrated **better outcomes** for your total knee, total hip and partial knee patients.^{1,2,3}



*To date, the data analytics service is not yet fully available in Europe. Please check on the current availability status for your country prior to engaging in conversations with external customers on the data analytics offering.



3



EVOLUTION

The evolution of Mako

2006 - MAKO Surgical Corporation

First generation MAKO System was launched with the partial knee application and the Stelkast UKA implant.



2009 - Restoris MCK multicompartmental knee system

The second generation Mako System launched with the new Restoris MCK Implant System.



2010 - Mako THA

First Mako THA procedure performed.



2011 - Restoris Hip System

Mako total hip application is launched commercially with the Restoris Hip Implant System.



2013 - Stryker acquires MAKO Surgical Corporation



MAKO Surgical Corporation is purchased by Stryker.









2015 - Third generation Mako System

Stryker launches third generation Mako System. The launch of the upgraded Mako System included a next generation Total Hip application, which enables surgeons to use Stryker's hip implants on the Mako platform and continues to utilize the clinically successful⁴⁻⁶ Partial Knee application and implants.



2016 - First Mako TKA Case



The addition of the Mako Total Knee application with Stryker's clinically proven⁷ Triathlon Total Knee System expanded the Mako offering to provide a comprehensive solution in the robotic-arm assisted joint replacement service line.

REFERENCES DISCLAIMER

5



MAKO SMARTROBOTICSTM STATS

Mako SmartRoboticsTM

Worldwide, through 2019:







have been installed across 26 countries and every state in the contiguous U.S.*

3000K+ Mako procedures have been performed*



6

*Stryker's 2019 sales data

MKOSYM-SS-7_Rev-1_23887 Copyright © 2020 Stryker

REFERENCES DISCLAIMER



MAKO SYSTEM

The Mako System



Cutting instruments

A. Total Hip Mako Integrated Cutting System (MICS)

 Next generation modular power tool that enables acetabular reaming

B. Partial Knee Mako Integrated Cutting System (MICS)

 Next generation modular power tool that enables burring and planar saw cuts

C. Total Knee Mako Integrated Cutting System (MICS)

Next generation modular

Compatible implants

A: Total Hip

- Femoral Stems: Accolade II, Secur-Fit Advanced, and Exeter
- Femoral Heads: BIOLOX delta V40, Universal BIOLOX delta, and V40 LFIT
- Acetabular Shells: Trident II and Trident Hemispherical, PSL, and Tritanium (solidback, cluster and multihole)
- Acetabular Liners: X3
 Polyethylene, Trident
 Constrained, and MDM X3

B: Partial Knee

power tool that enables planar saw cuts

 Restoris MCK Partial Knee Implant System

C: Total Knee

Triathlon Total Knee System





MAKO SMARTROBOTICS

Mako SmartRobotics[™]

Know more.

It all starts with a CT scan that creates a 3D image of your patient's unique anatomy. This information you've never had before allows you to create your patient's plan and assess and balance the joint.

Cut less.

Using everything the CT scan allows you to know about your patient, Mako's AccuStop[™] haptic technology guides you to cut what you've planned... precisely for each patient. For some patients, that means preserving soft tissue;^{8,9} for others, that means saving healthy bone.⁸⁻¹²

8

REFERENCES DISCLAIMER



- Illgen, R, Bukowski, B, Abiola, R, Anderson, P, Chughtai, M, Khlopas, A, Mont, M. Robotic-assisted total hip arthroplasty: Outcomes at minimum two year follow up. Surgical Technology International. 2017 July 25; 30:365-372.
- 2. Kayani B, Konan S, Tahmassebi J, Pietrzak JRT, Haddad FS. Roboticarm assisted total knee arthroplasty is associated with improved early functional recovery and reduced time to hospital discharge compared with conventional jig-based total knee arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. The Bone and Joint Journal. 2018;100-B:930-7.
- 3. Kleeblad LJ, Borus T, Coon T, Dounchis J, Nguyen J, Pearle A. Midterm survivorship and patient satisfaction of robotic-arm assisted medial unicompartmental knee arthroplasty: a multicenter study. The Journal of Arthroplasty. 2018:1-8.
- 4. Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry & Orthopaedic Data Evaluation Panel (ODEP), National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland
- 5. Scott C, Bell, K, Ng R, Mc Donald D, Patton J, Burnett R. "Excellent 10-year patient-reported outcomes and survival in a single radius, cruciate-retaining total knee arthroplasty" Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy
- Mistry J, Elmallah R, Chughtaj M, Oktem M, Harwin, S, Mont MA. Long-term survivorship and clinical outcomes of a single radius Total Knee Arthroplasty. Surgical Technology International 2016 April; 28: 247-51
- 7. Mistry J, Elmallah R, Chughtai M, Oktem M, Harwin S, Mont M. Long-Term Survivorship and Clinical Outcomes of a Single Radius Total Knee Arthroplasty. International XXVIII.
- 8. Haddad, F.S., et al. Iatrogenic Bone and Soft Tissue Trauma in Robotic-Arm Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty Compared With Conventional Jig-Based Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Cohort Study and Validation of a New Classification System. J Arthroplasty. 2018 Aug;33(8):24962501. Epub 2018 Mar 27.

 Hozack, W, Chen, A, Khlopas, A, Mahoney, O, Mont, M, Murray, T, Orozco, F, Higuera Rueda, C, Stearns, K. Multicenter Analysis of Outcomes after Robotic-Arm Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty. American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons Annual Meeting. Las Vegas, NV. March 12-16, 2019.





- Suarez-Ahedo, C; Gui, C; Martin, T; Chandrasekaran, S; Domb, B. Robotic arm assisted total hip arthroplasty results in smaller acetabular cup size in relation to the femoral head size: A Matched-Pair Controlled Study. Hip Int. 2017; 27 (2): 147-152.
- Banks, Scott A, PhD. Haptic Robotics Enable a Systems Approach to Design of a Minimally Invasive Modular Knee Arthroplasty. Am J Orthop. 2009;38(2 suppl):23-27. February 2009.
- 12. Hampp E, Chang TC, Pearle A. Robotic partial knee arthroplasty demonstrated greater bone preservation compared to robotic total knee arthroplasty. Annual Orthopaedic Research Society. Austin, TX. 2-5 Feb 2019.

A surgeon must always rely on his or her own professional clinical

judgment when deciding whether to use a particular product when treating a particular patient. Stryker does not dispense medical advice and recommends that surgeons be trained in the use of any particular product before using it in surgery.

The information presented is intended to demonstrate the breadth of Stryker's product offerings. A surgeon must always refer to the package insert, product label and/or instructions for use before using any of Stryker's products. The products depicted are CE marked according to the Medical Device Regulation 2017/745 or the Medical Device Directive 93/42/EEC. Products may not be available in all markets because product availability is subject to the regulatory and/ or medical practices in individual markets. Please contact your sales representative if you have questions about the availability of Stryker's products in your area.

Stryker Corporation or its divisions or other corporate affiliated entities own, use or have applied for the following trademarks or service marks: Accolade, AccuStop, Exeter, LFIT, Mako, Mako Surgical Corp., PSL, Restoris, Secur-Fit, SmartRobotics, Stryker, Triathlon, Trident, Tritanium, V40, X3. All other trademarks are trademarks of their respective owners or holders. BIOLOX delta is a registered trademark of Ceramtec Ag.

MKOSYM-PG-10_23308 ©2020 Stryker.



10